{**Fe(3CNpy)2[Cu(3CNpy)(***µ***-CN)2]2**}**: a One-Dimensional Cyanide-Based Spin-Crossover Coordination Polymer**

Ana Galet,† M. Carmen Mun˜ oz,† and Jose´ Antonio Real*,‡

Departament de Fı´*sica Aplicada, Uni*V*ersitat Polite*`*cnica de Vale*`*ncia, Camino de Vera s/n, 46022 Vale*`*ncia, Spain, and Institut de Cie*`*ncia Molecular/Departament de Quı*´*mica Inorga*`*nica, Uni*V*ersitat de Vale*`*ncia, Edificio de Institutos de Paterna, Apartado de Correos 22085, 46071 Vale*`*ncia, Spain*

Received February 14, 2006

A novel one-dimensional coordination polymer made up of Fe^{II} , 3-cyanopyridine (3CNpy), and the singular in situ formed [Cuⁱ(3CNpy)(CN)₂]⁻ anionic bridge has been synthesized. This compound undergoes a spin-crossover behavior according to its magnetic and calorimetric properties. The crystal structure of the title compound has been studied in the high- and low-spin states and correlated with the character of the spin conversion. Evidence for intense spin-state-dependent Cu-....Cu interactions between the chains is also reported.

Cyanide-bridged homo- and heterometallic coordination polymers have been shown to exhibit a remarkable diversity of structural types with interesting magnetic, electrochemical, magnetooptical, thermomechanical, and zeolitic properties.¹ In particular, Hofmann-like clathrate compounds² containing Fe^{II} ions have led to the development of a number of twodimensional (2D) ${Fe(pyridine)_2[M^{II}(CN)_4]}\,3,4a}$ and threedimensional (3D) {Fe(pyrazine)[M^{II}(CN)₄]}'*n*H₂O^{4a} frameworks with $M^{II} = Ni$, Pd, and Pt. The pyrazine derivatives undergo abrupt thermal-, pressure-, and light-induced spin- crossover^5 (SCO) behavior with thermal hysteresis close to room temperature, $4a-c$ which confers them bistability, an essential property for the construction of advanced materials

- (2) Iwamoto, T. In *Inclusion Compounds*; Atwood, J. L., Davies, J. E. D., MacNicol, D. D., Eds.; Oxford University Press: London, U.K., 1991; Vol. 5, p 177.
- (3) Kitazawa, T.; Gomi, Y.; Takahashi, M.; Takeda, M.; Enemoto, A.; Miyazaki, T.; Enoki, T. *J. Mater. Chem.* **1996**, *6*, 119.
- (4) (a) Niel, V.; Martinez-Agudo, J. M.; Muñoz, M. C.; Gaspar, A. B.; Real, J. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 3838. (b) Molna´r, G.; Niel, V.; Real, J. A.; Dubrovinsky, L.; Bousseksou, A.; McGarvey, J. J. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2003**, *107*, 3149. (c) Bonhommeau, S.; Molna´r, G.; Galet, A.; Zwick, A.; Real, J. A.; McGarvey, J. J.; Bousseksou, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2005**, *44*, 4069.

10.1021/ic060247i CCC: \$33.50 © 2006 American Chemical Society **Inorganic Chemistry,** Vol. 45, No. 12, 2006 **4583** Published on Web 05/11/2006

with potential applications. The formal replacement of the $[M^{II}(CN)_4]^2$ ⁻ anions by $[M^{I}(CN)_2]$ ⁻ groups $(M^{I} = Cu, Ag,$
Au) with trans-bispyridylethylene A A'-bipyridine 3-cyano-Au), with *trans*-bispyridylethylene, 4,4′-bipyridine, 3-cyanopyridine (3CNpy), or pyrimidine (pmd) as ligands, has afforded new 2D and 3D SCO polymers.⁶ Such compounds not only display interesting pressure- and light-induced properties^{6e,f} but also can combine their cooperative spin transition properties (magnetic, chromatic, and structural) with different chemical properties such as crystalline-state ligand-substitution reactions with allosteric effects,^{4c} metallophilicity,^{6d} or host-guest interactions.⁷

Most of the above referred $Fe^{II}L[M^{I}(CN)_2]$ systems are based on the $[Ag(CN)_2]$ ⁻ or $[Au(CN)_2]$ ⁻ building blocks. In fact, only one SCO coordination polymer based on $[Cu(CN)₂]$ ⁻ has been investigated so far.^{6b} Herein we report the synthesis,⁸ crystal structure, and magnetic and calorimetric properties of ${Fe(3CNpy)_2[Cu(3CNpy)(\mu-CN)_2]_2}$ (1), which rep-

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jose.a.real@uv.es. [†] Universitat Politècnica de València.

[‡] Universitat de València.

^{(1) (}a) Dunbar, K. R.; Heintz, R. A. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *45*, 283. (b) Sato, O. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. C* **2004**, *5*, 203. (c) Margadonna, S.; Prassides, K.; Fitch, A. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2004**, *126*, 15390. (d) Goodwin, A. L.; Chapman, K. W.; Kepert, C. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2005**, *127*, 17980.

^{(5) (}a) Real, J. A.; Gaspar, A. B.; Mun˜oz, M. C. *Dalton Trans*. **2005**, 2062. (b) Gütlich, P., Goodwin, H. A, Eds. Spin Crossover in Transition Metal Compounds. *Topics in Current Chemistry*; Springer: New York, 2004; Vols. 233-235. (c) Real, J. A.; Gaspar, A. B.; Niel, V.; Muñoz, M. C. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2003, 236, 121. (d) Gütlich, P.; Hauser, A.; Spiering, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1994**, *33*, 2024. (e) Ko¨nig, E. *Struct. Bonding (Berlin)* **1991**, *76*, 51. (f) Ko¨nig, E.; Ritter, G.; Kulshreshtha, S. K. *Chem. Re*V*.* **¹⁹⁸⁵**, *⁸⁵*, 219. (g) Gütlich, P. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 1981, 44, 83. (h) Goodwin, H. A. *Coord. Chem. Re*V. **¹⁹⁷⁶**, *¹⁸*, 293.

^{(6) (}a) Niel, V.; Muñoz, M. C.; Gaspar, A. B.; Galet, A.; Levchenko, G.; Real, J. A. *Chem.*-Eur. J. 2002, 8, 2446. (b) Niel, V.; Galet, A.; Gaspar, A. B.; Muñoz, M. C.; Real, J. A. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 1248. (c) Niel, V.; Thompson, A. L.; Muñoz, M. C.; Galet, A.; Goeta, A. E.; Real, J. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 3760. (d) Galet, A.; Niel, V.; Muñoz, M. C.; Real, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, 125, 14224. (e) Niel, V.; Thompson, A. L.; Goeta, A. E.; Enachescu, C.; Hauser, A.; Galet, A.; Muñoz, M. C.; Real, J. A. *Chem.-Eur. J.* 2005, *11*, 2047. (f) Galet, A.; Gaspar, A. B.; Muñoz, M. C.; Bukin, G. V.; Levchenko, G.; Real, J. A. *Ad*V*. Mater.* **²⁰⁰⁵**, *¹⁷*, 2949.

⁽⁷⁾ Niel, V. Ph.D. Thesis, Universitat de València, València, Spain, 2002.

⁽⁸⁾ Synthesis of **1** was performed under an argon atmosphere using a slowdiffusion technique. One side of an H-shaped vessel contains a mixture of FeCl₂⁻⁴H₂O (0.25 mmol, 50 mg) and 3CNpy (1 mmol, 107 mg) in methanol—water (1:1; 2 mL). The other side contains a water solution methanol-water (1:1; 2 mL). The other side contains a water solution (2 mL) of KCu(CN)₂ (0.5 mmol, 77.8 mg). Prismatic orange crystals were obtained after 3 weeks. Yield: 55%. Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{16}N_{12}$ -FeCu2: C, 47.76; H, 2.27; N, 23.88. Found: C, 47.53; H, 2.21; N, 24.01.

Figure 1. Molecular fragment of **1** including the relevant non-hydrogen atom numbering. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity.

resents the first example of a cyanide-based one-dimensional (1D) SCO polymer.

The crystal structure of **1** has been investigated at 293 and $130 K⁹$ Compound 1 crystallizes in the triclinic P1 space group and at whatever temperature it does not change symmetry. The structure made up of a self-assembly of Fe^{II}, $3CNpy$, and $[Cu(CN)₂]$ ⁻ units forms infinite chains. There are two different coordination centers in the chain (Figure 1). One stems from the coordination of the Cu atom of the $[Cu(CN)₂]$ ⁻ group by the 3CNpy ligand to give $[Cu(3CNpy) (CN)_2$ ⁻ building blocks in which the Cu¹ ion is essentially three-coordinate. Consequently, the $[Cu(CN)₂]$ ⁻ moiety strongly deviates from linearity because the coordination geometry of Cu^I is actually trigonally distorted. The bond distances and angles are respectively $Cu-C(7) = 1.933(6)$ \AA , Cu-C(8) = 1.960(6) \AA , and Cu-N(5) = 2.081(6) \AA and $N(5)-Cu-C(7) = 121.2(2)°$, $N(5)-Cu-C(8) = 111.7(2)°$, and $C(7)-Cu-C(8) = 119.1(3)°$ at 293 K. The Cu^I atom is $0.329(1)$ Å out of the average plane defined by the atoms C(7), C(8), and N(5). The Fe^{II} atom located at an inversion center defines a pseudooctahedral $[FeN₆]$ coordination core whose equatorial positions are occupied by the cyanide N atom belonging to the $[Cu(3CNpy)(CN)_2]$ ⁻ bridging group, while the remaining apical positions are occupied by the pyridine N atom of two additional 3CNpy groups. The axial Fe-N bonds [Fe-N(1) = 2.239(5) and 2.014(4) Å for 293 and 130 K, respectively] are longer than those of the equatorial positions $[Fe-N(2) = 2.129(5)$ and 1.963(4) Å and Fe-N(3) = 2.162(5) and 1.963(4) Å for 293 and 130 K, respectively]. The average $[FeN₆]$ bond length variation is 0.196 Å, a value that is close to what is expected for the

Figure 2. Perspective view of the 1D polymer **1**: red, Fe; yellow, Cu; blue, N; orange, C.

Figure 3. Schematic view of the chains seen along the *b* direction defining a 2D packing (top). Close contacts between two chains (bottom): (i) the short $Cu^{1} \cdots Cu^{1}$ contacts are represented as white and black bonds; (ii) the vellow-orange lines correspond to the short $Cu \cdots C(8)^{ii}$ contacts. The 3CNpv yellow-orange lines correspond to the short Cu \cdots C(8)ⁱⁱ contacts. The 3CNpy ring [except the N(1) and N(5) atoms] has been omitted for simplicity.

structural modification associated with a spin transition according to the magnetic and calorimetric behavior (see below). A given Fe^{II} atom is connected to two adjacent Fe^{II} centers through four crystallographically equivalent $[Cu(3C Npy)(CN)₂]$ ⁻ bridges, giving the mentioned infinite chains running along the [010] direction (Figure 2). The chains are organized in such a way that they form parallel layers lying in the *ab* plane separated by $c = 13.9690(6)$ Å [the distance Fe $\cdot\cdot\cdot$ --Fe^{*i*} ($i = x, y, 1 + z$); Figure 3, top]. Within a layer, short interchain $Cu^{I_{\bullet\bullet}\bullet}Cu^{I}$ contacts are observed.
These contacts are significantly smaller than the correspond-These contacts are significantly smaller than the corresponding van der Waals radii sum 2.8 Å and are temperaturedependent: 2.6358(15) and 2.5906(11) Å at 293 and 130 K, respectively. The proximity of the $[Cu(3CNpy)(CN)₂$]⁻ groups allows additional weak interactions between the C(8) and Cu^I atoms of the adjacent chains (Figure 3, bottom). The Cu^I – C(8)^{*ii*} coordination distance, 2.416(6) Å at 293 K
(*ii* = 1 – x 2 – y – z) significantly larger than those lying $(i*i* = 1 - x, 2 - y, -z)$, significantly larger than those lying in the trigonal plane, is temperature-dependent and shortens

⁽⁹⁾ Crystal data for **1**: $C_{28}H_{16}N_{12}FeCu_2$, $M_w = 703.46$, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$ (No. 2). At 130(2) K (deep-red color), $a = 6.8490(3)$ Å, b group *P*1 (No. 2). At 130(2) K (deep-red color), $a = 6.8490(3)$ Å, $b = 7.7800(4)$ Å, $c = 13.7260(8)$ Å, $\alpha = 73.765(2)$ ° $\beta = 84.770(2)$ ° = 7.7800(4) Å, *c* = 13.7260(8) Å, α = 73.765(2)°, β = 84.770(2)°, γ = 80.681(2)°, *V* = 692.15(6) Å³, *Z* = 1, *D*_{calc} = 1.686 g cm⁻³, *µ*
= 2.083 mm⁻¹ λ(Mo Kα) = 0.710.73 Å 5444 reflections collected $= 2.083$ mm⁻¹, $λ$ (Mo Kα) $= 0.71073$ Å, 5444 reflections collected, 2231 independent reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ [$R_{int} = 0.0479$], R1 = 0.0545, wR2 = 0.1151 [*I* > 2*σ*(*I*)]. At 293(2) K (orange color), $a =$ 6.9260(3) Å, $b = 8.0610(3)$ Å, $c = 13.9690(6)$ Å, $\alpha = 73.533(2)^\circ$, β 6.9260(3) Å, *b* = 8.0610(3) Å, *c* = 13.9690(6) Å, α = 73.533(2)°, *β*
= 89.662(2)°, *γ* = 81.120(2)°, *V* = 738.35(5) Å³, Z = 1, D_{calc} =
1 582 *g* cm⁻³ μ = 1 953 mm⁻¹ λ(M₀ Kα) = 0 710 73 Å 5031 1.582 g cm⁻³, $\mu = 1.953$ mm⁻¹, λ (Mo K α) = 0.710 73 Å, 5031 reflections collected, 1913 independent reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ [R_{int} $= 0.0467$], R1 $= 0.0586$, wR2 $= 0.1622$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$].

Figure 4. $\chi_M T$ vs T curve (white circles) and temperature dependence of ∆*C*^p (black diamonds) for **1**.

by 0.038(6) Å upon cooling from 293 to 130 K. Observation of short $M^{I \rightarrow M^{I}}$ contacts in group 11 is usually associated
with the occurrence of metallophilic interactions with the occurrence of metallophilic interactions.

This is particularly true for compounds involving Ag^I and Au^I atoms where relativistic effects are expected to be more important than in Cu^I compounds. The nature of intermolecular $Cu^I \cdot Cu^I$ interactions has been recently discussed
from a theoretical and structural database analysis 10 From from a theoretical and structural database analysis.10 From this structural analysis, the authors conclude that the shortest intermolecular $Cu^{I} \cdot \cdot \cdot Cu^{I}$ contact observed is 2.71 Å, a value
that is significantly larger than that observed in the title that is significantly larger than that observed in the title compound, 0.074 and 0.119 Å for the high- and low-spin states, respectively. However, from a theoretical viewpoint, it is difficult to conclude that short $Cu^I \cdots Cu^I$ distances
correspond to attractive intermetallic interactions because correspond to attractive intermetallic interactions because they are expected to be very weak.

It is interesting to compare the structure of the title compound with that of the 2D SCO coordination polymer ${Fe(pmd)_2[Cu(CN)_2]_2}$ ^{6b} In both cases, the tendency to expand the coordination number of Cu^I from two to three is fulfilled differently. The pmd acts as a bridging ligand coordinating one Cu^I and one Fe^{II} of the adjacent {Fe[Cu- $(CN)_2]_2$ }_∞ chains, originating a 2D coordination polymer with the topology of CdCl₂. In the present case, the 3CNpy group could formally act as a bridging ligand in a similar way as pmd does. However, the N atom of the nitrile group is much less a donor than that of pmd; consequently, 3CNpy only coordinates the Fe^{II} and Cu^{I} atoms through the pyridine N. Thus, during the crystallization process, the [Cu(3CNpy)- $(CN)_2$ ⁻ anions were formed in solution to give the title 1D polymer **1**.

COMMUNICATION

The thermal dependence of the product $\chi_M T(\chi_M)$ being the molar magnetic susceptibility and *T* the temperature) and the anomalous heat capacity, ΔC_p , for **1** are displayed in Figure 4. $\chi_M T$ is equal to 3.42 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 300 K, which is in the range of the values expected for an Fe^H ion in the high-spin state. Upon cooling, $\chi_M T$ decreases slightly down to ca. 210 K, after which it undergoes a complete high-spin \leftrightarrow low-spin spin transition. The characteristic T_c value is estimated to be 172 K. Cooling and warming modes reveal the lack of thermal hysteresis.

The calorimetric measurements were carried out in the ¹²⁰-300 K temperature range. It displays a sharp peak at 179 K with a more rounded shoulder at ca. 174 K. These temperatures agree reasonably well with the T_c value observed from the $\gamma_M T$ vs *T* plot. The enthalpy (ΔH) and entropy (∆*S*) variation associated with the spin transition are $\Delta H = 7.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S = 45 \pm 3 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$,
which are within the normal limits observed for Fe^{II} SCO which are within the normal limits observed for Fe^{II} SCO compounds.

It deserves to be noted that **1** does not undergo a strong cooperative spin transition, in contrast to ${Fe(pmd)_2[Cu (CN)_2$, which displays a hysteresis of ca. 10 K. This is most likely due to the 2D nature of the latter compound, which confers it a more rigid structure. Although the Cu \cdot 'Cu interchain interactions could be considered an efficient source of cooperativity in **1**, the chains are flexible enough because the Fe-N-C-Cu angles change significantly during SCO in 1, i.e., $8.8(5)°$ for Fe-N(2)-C(7) and $3.3(6)°$ for $Cu-C(8)-N(3)$. Comparable changes have been observed in the Fe-N(CS) bending angles of the $[Fe(tap)₂(NCS)₂]$. *n*CH3CN complex upon SCO.11 These intrachain modifications probably absorb instead of transmitting the structural changes, associated with the $[FeN₆]$ sites, mitigating the cooperativeness of the spin transition. Similar effects have been reported previously for 1D polymers bearing tetrazole groups.12

Acknowledgment. Financial support is acknowledged from the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (MEC) through Project CTQ 2004-03456/BQU and the Generalitat Valenciana (Grant GV2004-B-110). A.G. thanks the Universitat Politécnica de València for a predoctoral fellowship.

Supporting Information Available: Crystallographic information file (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC060247I

⁽¹⁰⁾ Carvajal, M. A.; Álvarez, S.; Novoa, J. J. *Chem.*-*Eur. J.* 2004, *10*, 2117.

⁽¹¹⁾ Real, J. A.; Muñoz, M. C.; Andrés, E.; Granier, T.; Gallois, G. *Inorg. Chem*. **1994**, *33*, 3587.

⁽¹²⁾ van Koningsbruggen, P. J. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2004**, *233*, 123.